

COBBIN. WITH
R. RUGOSA

Special features of *R. myosa* hybrids

Feb. 77

	Flower color			bush shrubs	deciduous or needle no	<i>R. my.</i> x <i>R. lupinus</i> hybrids <i>deciduous</i>	Other Species	specify
	red	white	pink					
<u>F01</u>	F05	F07	F15r	F75	G27	G40	<i>R. my.</i> <i>f. japon.</i>	
F08	F12	<u>F06</u>	F17r	F28	G32	G50	"	
F09	F14	F11	F18r	F73	G33	G53p	<i>R. my.</i> <i>Beech.</i>	
F15	F20	F13	<u>F62</u> w		G37	G54-	"	
F17	F29	F16	F83r			G55w	"	
F18	F30	F27	F85r			G56	<i>R. coral.</i> (?)	
F72	<u>F62</u>	<u>F36</u>	F97r			G58	<i>R. foliol.</i> <i>ret. japon.</i>	
F79	F82	F60	G09w			G60	<i>R. serotina</i>	
F83	G09	F77	G13d _p					
F85	G62	<u>F95</u>	G17p					
F87	G51	G13	G18r					
F98	(F87)	G17	G46r					
F99		G52	<u>G48r</u>					
G18		G61	G52p					
G46		(F04)	G61p					
<u>G48</u>		F71	G62w					
F19			G51w					

F35 and F38 were sent in
1987 to L'Annonciation

F95 was discarded in the field but left in the D.A.
where it is causing some debate in 2000 (?)

most hybrids with red fl. were planted in sec. test 9

Hybrids with low shrubs shall be propagated for sec. test 11

F06 = MARTIN FROBISHER = R13000 F₁
 F36 = JENS MUNK = R13R05 F₁
 F62 = HENRY HUDSON = (R13000)000 F₂
 G48 = CHARLES ALBANEL
 F01 = DAVID THOMPSON

Rugosa hybrids, special characteristics

7	A	B	C	1	2	3	4	5	D	6	
seed character	double red fl.	double white fl.	double pink fl.	late flowering shrub	many leaves	petals aberrant	not leafing	very flowering	rose parent combination	Other	or Laxly flower
F06	(F01)	F05	(XF06)	F15	F05	F05	F71	(F01)	F04	blemish F28	F01
F08	F08	(-F12)	F07	F08	F07	F08		(F06)	F87	blemish F75	F05
F14	-F09	F14	F11	F17	F08	F09		F07	G27	blemish F73	F08
F62	F15	F20	F13	F18	F11	F11		F08	G32	R. my. typ. G40	F12
G09	F17	F29	F16	F19	F12	F12		F12	G33	G50	F14
G17	F72	(XF62)	F18	F62	F16	F14		F17	G37	G53	F15
	F79	-F82	F27	F83	F19	F29		F27		G54	F16
	F83	F87	F28	F85	F20	F30		F30		G55	F29
	F85	F30 <small>new sel.</small>	F30	G09	F29	F79		(F36)		G56	F30
	F97	G09	(XF36)	G17	F30	F95		F60		R. palea. G58	F36
	F98	G51	F60	G18	(F36)	G52		(F62)		R. new. G60	F62
	F99	G62	F71	G48	F79			F71			F82
	G13		F75	(G48)	F82			F72			F83
	G18		F77	G52	F83			F77			F95
	G48		-F95	G61	F85			F82			G09
	(-G48)		F73		F98			G17			G48
			G17		(G48)						G52
			G52		G51						
			G61		G61						



Selection Code/Code de sélection F01	Seedling Code/Code de plantule 70F70000042	Discarded/Rejetée				
Name/Nom David Thompson	Parentage/Ascendance ((Schneezweg x Fr. Dagmar Hartopp) o.p. o.p.) o.p.					
1971- Selected because it has double red flowers of better than average form. Very hardy. Might be everblooming and floriferous. Lovely fl.						
but dry petals persist and color fades. Foliage seems to mature early.						
1973- Very hardy, very floriferous and disease resistant. Petals have tendency to abscise. Improved double red rugosa. Petals and petals streaked. About 29 petals.						
1974- Rel. high rating in sec. test No. 6 for shrub and flower. Seems to be the best rugosa with double red flowers. Plants in increase looked very lovely. Propagate for co-op. trial. Better fl. than F99 and more productive but F99 is slightly more hardy. Does not set seeds!						
1977- Dispatched to co-op. establ. Shall be planted in display area.						
1979- Resistant to isolates MF and AB, was not tested with RC isolate. Planted in display area. Registered and distributed to diff. nurseries.						
Test results						
Ratings	Shrub	Foliage	Flower	Perf.	Total	%
Sec test 6	10	11	18	32	71	76
" " 9	9	11	23	32	75	81
" " 15	10	10	22	32	74	80
Used as parent						
Cross	No. poll.	No. hips	No. seed	No. seedl.	No. sel.	
75F07F01	60	0				
F83F01	44	0				
F91F01	54	0				
<p style="text-align: center;">Fran Dagmar Hartopp was</p>						

Selection Code/Code de sélection	Seedling Code/Code de plantule	Discarded/Rejetée
F06	61R13000049	
Name/Nom	Parentage/Ascendance	
Martin Frobisher	Schneezwerg o.p.	
1964-First selection which combines hardiness with continuous and free flowering.		
1965- Distributed for further evaluation to 6 establishments. Seedlings from o.p. were not noteworthy.		
1967- Reports from outside test were generally favourable. Hardy at Morden and Kapuskasing. Each person was impressed with the flowering habit and spinelessness. As a result from the press release, plants were requested by diff. research stations and nurseries. (U. Man., N.B. Dep. Agr., Brooks. Albt., Prince George B.C., Ste. Anne de la Pocatiere, Summerland, U. Minn., Eddie & Sons, Vancouver, Brookdale-Kingsway, Bowmanville.		
1968- Dispatched to: U. Man., N.B. Dept. Agr., Vineland Station, Ste. Anne, Washburn Alaska, Royal Bot. Gard., Percy Wright, Prince George, Simonet, Purvis, West Vanc., Patmore Nurs. Brandon, Eddie & Sons, Dr. Buck, Ames. Reports obtained from: Kapuskasing, Morden, Milwaukee (Boerner Bot. Gard) Registered in Aug. See copies of Ann. Reports 1968, News Release, ARS registration, Newspaper clippings, Description in Can. J. Plant Sci. 49:100.		
1970-New Press Release was unexpected success, indicating that this rose might become popular. Red fall color (Oct. 9) oxblood red (RHS Colour Chart 183A, but most leaves are yet green, 138A.		
1971- Should be available in 1972 from diff. nurseries, incl. Brookdale-Kingsway. In New Zealand found to be drought resistant. In this breeding program still one of the best rugosa. Combinations with R. hugonis and Old Blush produced seedlings which set seeds(?)		
1972- Plants in increase showed blackspot. Stock of Martin Frobisher sold out in N.Z.		
1973- Budwood shipped to N.Z. and 40 rooted cuttings to Brookdale-Kingsway.		
1977- Plants in sec. test No. 9 infected with blackspot. Isolated of the fungus from F06 and F16 differed in the shape of the spores and grew more slowly in culture than isolates from garden roses.		
1979- Susceptible to isolates MF and RC, trace of blackspot after inoculation with AB isolate. Planted in display area. Herbarium voucher taken. Distr. to diff. nurseries (see list)		

Selection Code/Code de sélection F06		Seedling Code/Code de plantule 61R13000049				Discarded/Rejetée	
Name/Nom Martin Frobisher		Parentage/Ascendance					
1981-Some symptoms after inoculation with new blackspot isolate AB							
Test Results							
Rating	Shrub	Foliage	Flower	Perf.	Total	%	
Sec. test 7	11	9	16	32	68	73	
" "	8	11	8	24	32	75	80
" "	9	9	8	20	32	69	74
Used as parent							
Cross	No. poll.	No. hips	No. seed	No. seedl.	No. sel.		
63R13F06	6	3	13	0			
64R13F06	131	24	161	13	0		
64R18F06	14	6	306	85	F48, F49		
65F06R05	26	20	377	51	0		
R01F06	96	27	1163	109	0		
R13F06	227	30	306	62	F63, F64, F65		
R18F06	120	13	581	109	0		
R05F06	3	2	54	39	0		
66F35F06	28	4	36	1	0		
F06S21	103	110	84	27	G21 to G27 incl.		
69F42F06	17	3	92	2	0		
70F36F06	305	57	1766	134	F12, F13, F14, F30		
F44F06	9	4	150	65	F20		
F42F06	103	85	4207 (500)	112	0		
F62F06	96	17	414	104	F21		
F06F72	56	13	64	34	0		
F06F36	126	31	215	74	F11, F16		
F06000	0	?	?	?	F95		

F95 was planted in demonstration Area. It was discarded in the field, therefore notes were not retained.

It is a 5-6 ft tall shrub with reddish-brown ^{branches} ~~leaves~~, spineless, or nearly so on the upper end of the branches. Lovely dbl. light pink flowers. Has merit, but was not named because we had already 5 new Hybrid Rugosa. Better record in Code Book.

HYBRID ROSEA

F12

71 F36 F06004

discarded
1986

ABRAHAM MARTIN

Records discarded. Was in ~~see~~ co-op. trial
Released from Ste. Jean sur Richelieu in 2008
as "Abraham Martin". Patented by ~~Abraham~~ ~~lamb.~~
Claude Richer.

It was not sent to L'Assomption in 1987, because
it had been discarded in 1986.

This has been an attempt to embarrass me by
Claude and the new director of Res. Stat. Saint Jean ac.

Mrs. Schwaeter (Roses-Canada No. 41, 2009 answered
to a request by Harry Mcgee and sent photographs
from Brooks, Alberta. I replied to her through
Harry. My reply will appear in the May issue of
Roses-Canada. Apparently Mme. Claire Lebege
had also studied this rose and commented on
it.

This rose is not included in my book:
THE CANADIAN EXPLORER ROSES, published by ROSES-CANADA
in 2008.



Selection Code/Code de sélection F35	Seedling Code/Code de plantule 78G48F62 005	Discarded/Rejetée																					
Name/Nom	Parentage/Ascendance Charles Albanel x Henry Hudson																						
1980- Everblooming, very floriferous, very hardy, no diseases in '79.																							
Fls. red, sl. streaked. Several peak productions in '79. Petals persist.																							
Many hips. Height 0.6m, spread 1m. Semi-dbl. fls., over 20 petals.																							
1983- Sec. test no. 15. Height about 0.5m, diam. 0.9m. Fls. light red,																							
sl. streaked, very fragrant, 26 petals. Attractive shrub and foliage.																							
Retain for future use.																							
Test results																							
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Rating</th> <th>Shrub</th> <th>Foliage</th> <th>Flower</th> <th>Perf.</th> <th>Total</th> <th>%</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Prelim.</td> <td>9</td> <td>9</td> <td>16</td> <td>34</td> <td>68</td> <td>73</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sec. test 15</td> <td>10</td> <td>10</td> <td>21</td> <td>32</td> <td>73</td> <td>78</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Rating	Shrub	Foliage	Flower	Perf.	Total	%	Prelim.	9	9	16	34	68	73	Sec. test 15	10	10	21	32	73	78
Rating	Shrub	Foliage	Flower	Perf.	Total	%																	
Prelim.	9	9	16	34	68	73																	
Sec. test 15	10	10	21	32	73	78																	
1985- Replacement for 'Frau Dagmar Hartopp'?																							
<i>sent to l'Assomption in 1987</i>																							

Selection Code/Code de sélection F36		Seedling Code/Code de plantule 64R13R05015				Discarded/Rejetée	
Name/Nom Jens Munk		Parentage/Ascendance Schneezweg x Frau Dagmar Hart.					
1967- Hardy, everblooming, floriferous, mildew resistant. Semi-dbl. med. pink flower, pleasing form and color. Improved over other rugosa							
F37 seems to be slightly more resist. to mildew.							
1968- For co-op trial. Fl. larger than that of Schneezweg, lovely shade of pink. Floriferous, fertile (many hips). Flush of bloom in June and sec. flush in early fall. Some fls. between flushes. Fls. in small clusters, in determined inflorescences. About 25 petals.							
1969- Highly rated in sec. test. Only fault is retention of petals.							
1970- Plants sent to: Fredericton, Ste. Anne, Kapuskasing, The Pas, Southerland, Sask., Beaverlodge, Prince George, Guelph.							
1974- Registered with C.O.P.F. April 1.							
1978- Resistant to blackspot. Spores do germinate but they do not penetrate the leaf surface. Spores of isolates GR, RC, and MF were tested.							
1979- Resistant to isolates MF, AB, RC. Planted in dem. area. Distributed to diff. nurseries.							
Test results							
Rating	Shrub	Foliage	Flower	Perf.	Total	%	
Sec test 3	9	8	18	31	66	71	
" " 8	8	9	17	31	65	70	
" " 14	8	9	20	31	68	73	
" " 15	10	11	21	31	73	78	
Used as parent							
Cross	No. poll.	No. hips	No. seed	No. seedl.	No. sel.		
F36Fo6	305	57		134	F12, F13, F14, F30		
Fo6F36	126	31		74	F11, F16		
F36F75	162	51	500	199	F28		
F36F90	265	0					
F36R38	14	0					
F36F28	50	9	213	243	0		
F36F75	40	0					
F36G09	49	13	318	50			
F36F09	88	17	576	47			

Selection Code/Code de sélection F36	Seedling Code/Code de plantule 64R13R05015	Discarded/Rejetée			
Name/Nom Jens Munk	Parentage/Ascendance				
Used as parent -cont.					
Cross	No.pollin.	No.hips	No.seed	No.seedl.	No.sel.
F36F16	78	8	222	36	
F36X31	54	17	543	50	
78F36G40	30	26	990	42	
78F36X28	30	3	51	4	
78F36F99	30	0			



Selection Code/Code de sélection F38		Seedling Code/Code de plantule 78G48F07002		Discarded/Rejetée	
Name/Nom ABRAHAM MARTIN NO		Parentage/Ascendance Charles Albanel x (Schneezwerg x A.G.W. 2)			
A.G.W.2 was obtained from Simont.					
1980- Everblooming, floriferous, very hardy, trace of mildew, but no blackspot in '79. Many hips. Fl. pure white, petals tend to abscise					
S.dbl., 15-18 petals, very fragrant. Plant height about 0.5m, diam. 1m. Fl. diam. 7-8cm.					
Test results					
Rating	Shrub	Foliage	Flower	Perf.	Total %
Prel.	7	9	21	33	70 75
Sec. test 16	9	9	23	33	74 79
sent to L'Annonciation in 1987					
No. 2006: Plant Patent No. 05-4908 (Application Date 2005/05/18 compared to Henry Hudson Plant Varieties Journal Oct. 2005. No. 57					
The claim that "Abraham Martin" originated from a controlled cross between the two rose varieties 'Jens Munk' and 'Martin Frobisher' is wrong. It was a cross between 'Charles Albanel' and a seedling derived from (Schneezwerg x A.G.W. 2), where A.G.W. 2 was an acquisition of unknown origin.					
Misfaké F12 was a white double rose with double fls. (see Rugosa hybrids, spec. characteristics, but was not sent to L'Annonciation in 1987.					
ABRAHAM MARTIN was F12 in Plant Patent Application and the parentage was given correctly as Martin Frobisher x Jens Munk, according to Claude Richer. This should be verified					
ABRAHAM MARTIN was F3 could have been only F38 if it indeed was one of the roses sent in 1987 to L'Annonciation, F12 was tested at L'Annonciation and Breeds and was noted rightly. Tall shrub with white fls.					



Selection Code/Code de sélection F62		Seedling Code/Code de plantule 66F16000050				Discarded/Rejetée	
Name/Nom Henry Hudson		Parentage/Ascendance (Schneezwerg o.p.) o.p.					
1968- Very hardy, everblooming, floriferous, low shrub 0.6m high. Fls.white, dbl., about 25 petals, very fragrant, buds pink (55A0). Many hips. Disease resistant.							
1973- Dispatched to co-op.establ. at 14 locations							
1976- Registered with C.O.P.F.							
1977- Dispatched to nurseries							
1979-Resistant to isolates AB and MF, but was not ⁴ inoculated with RC.							
Test results							
Rating		Shrub	Foliage	Flower	Perf.	Total	%
Sec.test 4		8	7	16	32	63	68
" " 7							
" " 14		10	10	19	32	71	76
Used as parent							
Cross (<i>oli/h.yrs.</i>)		No.pollin.	No.hips	No.seed	No. seedl.	No.sel.	
F06F62		165	31	53	53	F08	
F62G15		5	0				
G15F62		30	0				
F44F62		12	2		1	0	
F42F62		4	4		83	F19	
F62F06		96	17		114	F21	
F62F42		101	33	652	116	F17, F18	
F62F44		97	19		97	F15	
F62F86		103	6		0		

ROSE SELECTION

SEEDL. CODE

F95

MARTIN FROBISHER D.P.

F06 000036 ?

2000: ~~HAS~~

F95 was planted in Demonstration area . It was not transferred to L'Assomption, because at that time we had registered five cvs. of Hybrid Rugosa. F35, seedl. code 78G48F62005 and F38, seedl. code 78G48F07002 were presumably more desirable. My notes of 1984 suggest that F35 , a red Hyb.Rg. was slightly more floriferous than David Thompson. F38 a Hybr.Rg. has pure white flowers and its petals tended to abscise. It was as hardy as Henry Hudson, but more productive and seemed more resistant to powdery mildew.

There was no note on F95, because it was no longer grown in the field. F95 , in D.A. is a tall, upright shrub, like Martin Frobisher, its upper branches are thornless. It has lovely, double pink flowers, which are more attractive than those of its seed parent.

As the 'Explorers' are now famous, certain individuals are thinking how they could profit from a new rose of the series. See Ecorc Newsletter sent to me by George Vorauer and my answer to his letter fr. Sept. 3, 2000.

Apparently, Ian Ogilvie had taken cuttings from the plant in the D.A. which I probably had suggested to him. It ~~might have been~~ ^{was} ~~not~~ moved to Exp. Station Ste. Jean. It is listed by Ogilvie as a possible variety, needing more testing (after more than 10 years at L'Assomption ?) It has been sent to Montr. Bot. Gard., where it still might be available. F35 , was also presumably moved to Ste. Jean. Ogilvie lists it as a violet rugosa , possible variety, but also needs more testing. He lists also F38 as a compact white rugosa, like ~~the~~ ^{above}, a possible variety, needing more testing. Only F35 is listed in the plantation at L'Assomption. I had a purple flowering rose , but not a rugosa. It is U03, 73L02D08030 which was retained only for its unusual color, a dark purple, almost violet. It is still available at Res. Stn. Ste. Jean, or was in 1998. It is not listed in the planting plan of L'Assomption.

Was in co-op test, but at that time we already had 5 rugosa hybrids and ~~there~~ it was doubtful if F95 would add greatly to those available. Was rated highly by co-operators. Special feature: dry petals abscise. Should be retained as genetic stock.

#cc 02 These might be problems with F95 asked in letter to Eric Moore to inquire about this rose (copy of the letter to Minister AAFC
2 plants from D.A. are missing, cuttings were taken from the final plant.

cont/2

ROSE SELECTION
F95

2006: My letter from Rock Robin^{to} asked if I know a Fanny Heath rose, which I had not heard of before. He mentioned that this rose is grown in the Ottawa Arboretum and attributed to me. Following this letter I asked Dr. Brian Freese from program directorate to inquire ~~about~~ if Fanny Heath is F95 ~~but this~~ letter was not answered yet. (Oct. 30, 06 mailed; today is Nov. 22, 06).

There are several questions which have not been answered. i.e. Smease campaign about my work with tetraploid roses. Eric Mease had Jan Phillips write to me that John Cobok is a bad rose, to which I replied that I do not care about Mr. Mease's opinion.

On March 28, 2005 I wrote a letter to the Deputy of AAFC Mr. L. Edmonds concerning an article in "The Packet" in which Ladunila Gumber which is mentioned as having been awarded the Ontario Heritage Award for the revival of a "dying breed of roses". ~~That is~~ (This is, according to Harry McGee an insensitive promotion.)

The program directorate seems to be nervous concerning the preservation of both "Rugosa Ottawa" and "LPS", none of which has been preserved at the Horticulture Research and Development Centre (according to my knowledge).

They know that there is no excuse for theft and therefore the smears campaign about my work by "The Friends of the Fern".

65 612

63 R24 000004

65: appears disease resist.; many lips;
could be trained as climber

67: 2. pink fl.; X breedg. see Feb 67
very bushy & gives us sign of mildew.
flowers only once and is not floriferous.
Plant not as big as 614. flowers single
pink and levely.

2 x = 28. Should be valuable parent f.
combinations with modern garden cultures.
and KO1.

612 is more floriferous than R. laxa and
not as susceptible to mildew as R. multiflora.

Due to lack of plant material it could be
used only f. combin. this year with
Van Siceuborst and R. keedesii.
Plants shipped to Buch & keep

69: low setting in see. Feb., because it is not floriferous
and flowers only once (one plants f. flower period)
used f. X breeding with D10, D15, S67, T11, T14,
T16, T18 as seed parent.

cross breedg: 67: with S53, KO, KO4

68: with KO1, T03, L31, T09, T07,
D07

69: with D10, D15, S67, T11, T14, T16,
T18

seedl. planted 68: o.p. seedl. (58 in 68, 2 in 69)
lyke seedl. fr. X with KO1

69: o.p. seedl.
lyke seedl. fr. X with D07, T09
KO1

Seems to be attacked by black spot

~~65~~ 612

63 224 000004

70: 71 seedl. K01612 planted
2 " ~~552~~ T09 612 "

71: Disceded. Improved seedl. available f. x breeding,
hence no longer required.

74: 23 seedl. fr. K01612 planted fr. stored seeds

G12

CROSS COMPATIBILITY

Date: July 20, 70

Combination	Year Cross Made	Pollin.		Seed Per Hip	No. Seed		% Germ.	No. Seedlings Planted Yr. After Cross				
		No	% Succ.		Obt.	Pl.		1	2	3	Total	
K01 G12	1967	11		10	205			27	29			
T09 G12	1968	58		21	167			20	2			
K01		326		240	4061	10000, 69 1000, 70		70	19 52		27	
G12 K01		67		0								
T03		3		0								
K05		1		0								
T09		12		0								
T04		5		0								
D07		26		10	79			11				
	Yr. Pl.											
G12 o.p.	1969							2				
	1968							58				
K01 G12	1974			fr. stored seeds				23				
K01 G12				11 seeds selected								



Genetic stock

NOTES ON SELECTIONS
NOTES DES SÉLECTIONS

pink

Selection Code/Code de sélection	Seedling Code/Code de plantule	Discarded/Rejetée
G14	63 224 000010	1983
Name/Nom	Parentage/Ascendance	
<i>77: to be retained as genetic stock.</i>		
<i>79: A.U. test results (15 yrs)*</i>		
<i>W1</i>	<i>weeds FL.</i>	<i>Am. bloom</i>
<i>Mildew</i>	<i>Blackspot</i>	
<i>2.1</i>	<i>4.0</i>	<i>1.7</i>
<i>2.7</i>	<i>1.1</i>	

Tetraploid 'Mex Gref'

with
climbing habit

65 G 14

63 224 000010

65: not quite as bushy as G12, not as resistant to mildew, climbing habit. More vig. than G12

67: o. pink fl., retain f. comp. with offspr.
Very bushy, but susceptible to mildew.
More vig. than G12.

69: o. p. seedl. planted in 68 & 69.
plants shipped to Pouch & Hoop

70: 4 seedl. selected which seemed vig. of climbing habit and resistant to blackspot. Sel. codes: G42, G43, G44, G45.

71: G45 used f. x breeding.
Sel. G43 and G44 showed some blackspot in 71 and were discarded.

G14 seemed every plant the best more resistant to blackspot than G12, less winter seedling. Consequently G12 was discarded in 71. G14 showed less resistance to mildew than G12, but mildew is generally not a problem with ~~some~~ seedlings from combinations with R. Reed, ^{with} ~~for~~ which these selections (G12, G14 and offspring) are to be used for combinations.

73: Should go into sec. Test as standard with other offspring from 224.

76: Retained as genetic stock (tetraploid 'Mex Gref')

offspring selected: G42, G43, G44, G45, L33, L39, L40 of which G42, G44, L33 are still retained.



Selection Code/Code de sélection G48		Seedling Code/Code de plantule 70G09000041		Discarded/Rejetée	
Name/Nom Charles Albanel		Parentage/Ascendance (Souvenir de Philemon Cochet, o.p.) a.f			
1971: Retained for the dbl. red fls, appears repeating, very hardy					
1972: Many hips. No trace of either blackspot or mildew. Everblooming but not floriferous. Vig. shrub, not very well balanced. Fls. double red, good form- dry petals persist. 11-25 petals (estim.) ² 25-28 petals					
It is interesting that the seedlings from o.p.of Souv. de Phil. Cochet which has double white flowers(20 petals) have predominantly red flowers and are single. They were presumably derived from outcrossing.					
Color and petal no. of 36 seedl. from o.p.					
No. petals		Color			
		white	pink	red	Total
5		1	5	20	26
6-25		0	0	8	8
26-50		1	0	0	1
over 50		1	0	0	1
Total		3	5	28	36
1974: Obtained rel high rating in sec test no.6 for flower. Petals seem to abscise , but this could have been an effect of the weather. Roses in sec. test seemed to generally abscise their petals this year.					
1975: Vig. shrub, low grwing. Attractive foliage and flower in sec. test n. 7. Should be propagated for display area.					
1977: Retain for breeding because this is one rugosa selection which did not originate from Schneezweg. Should be distributed to co-op. establ.					
1979: Resistant to isolates AB,RC and MF . Planted in sec, test no.13 and in display area. Obtain photograph. Distr. to co-op. establishments.					
1981: Excellent shrub and foliage. Shrub low, spreading. Fls. open form, dull red, 25-28 petals. Propagate for distribution to nurseries. This selection is valuable because it can be used as ground cover. Hips in fall.					
1982: Registered with COPF and Am. Rose Soc.					
Test results					
Sec. test	Plant	Foliage	Flower	Performance	Total %
16	9	9	21	28	67 72



Selection Code/Code de sélection G48		Seedling Code/Code de plantule 70G090000041		Discarded/Rejetée	
Name/Nom Charles Albanel		Parentage/Ascendance Souvenir de Phliemon Cochet o.p.			
Test results -cont					
Sec. test	Plant	Foliage	Flower	Performance	Total % (93)
No. 13	9	9	21	28	67 72
No. 6	9	11	17	32	69 74
No. 7	9	11	17	32	69 74
Used as parent					
Cross	No. pollin.	No. hips	No. seed	No. seedl.	No. sel.
77G48F62	101	15	652	47	F35, F37
G48F07	92	14	811	47	F38
G48F08	99	0			
G48X31	89	7	360	47	0
78G48G40	30	15	884	63	0
G48F99	30	0			
G48X28	30	0			
F39 Descended					
F. 35 sent to L'Annonciation in 1987				red-purple	Henry Hudson 648 F62
F 38 " " "				white	648 F07 not named
offspring					
F38 Plant Patent applied for by Claude Richer 2005/05/18					
see: reprint from Plant Varieties Journal, Oct. 2005, No. 57					
Parentage was wrongly given as a cross of Jens Hunk x					
Martin Fréchet. This cross was not made in Ottawa					
under my tenure but it was not either made					
either by Claude Richer who named me as breeder.					
Claude said that F38 was not patented					
by F12 which was F36 F06. The origin					
of the newly patented one will have to be					
verified.					



Selection Code/Code de sélection G49		Seedling Code/Code de plantule 69G120000001		Discarded/Rejetée	
Name/Nom		Parentage/Ascendance (Max Graf o.p.) o.p.			
1971: No winter injury, resistant to blackspot end mildew, flowers repeatedly but not prolifically. Long and vigorous canes, flowers pink, single Many hips. Should be useful as parent for hardy and disease resistant roses (climber). Extended flowering period an asset.					
1975: Planted in sec. test nos. 9 and 7.					
1978: Should be examined for chromosome pairing and compared with R. kordesii.					
1979: Resistant to blackspot isolates AB, RC, MF. Should be released as genetic stock.					
1981: susceptible to new isolate of blackspot from AB (Arthur Bell)					
1985: Parent of L83. Might be retained as offspring from G12, a seedling obtained from o.p. of Max Graf, found to be tetraploid.					
Av test results (7 years)					
Del. Code	Winter injury	Weeks flowering	Amount bloom	Mildew	Blackspot
G49	1.7	8.5	1.6	1.0	1.5
G12	2.6	4.2	1.5	1.1	2.6
G45	2.0	10.6	1.5	1.7	1.4
G14	2.1	4.0	1.7	2.7	1.1
Sec. test No. 9:					
Flower 19 points (63%) · Foliage 10 (83%) Shrub 9 (75%) Wintersurvival 8 (80%) Flowering 7 (58%) disease res. 10 (100%) Total 63 (72%)					
Sec. test No. 7: Total 53 point (60%)					
Used as parent:					
Cross	No. poolin.	No. hips	No. seed	No. seedl.	No. select.
76G49K12	45	8	27	9	L02
G49K15	50	2	4	2	
G49K07	29	1	3	1	
74 G49L41	55	12	73	16	
G49L07	50	28	315	5	
G49L10	50	35	375	37	
K01G49	74	47	1170	60	L83 (620 seed stored.)

No records were retained for G 57

00.00	1	00.00
00.00	2	00.00
00.00	3	00.00
00.00	4	00.00
00.00	5	00.00
00.00	6	00.00
00.00	7	00.00
00.00	8	00.00
00.00	9	00.00
00.00	10	00.00
00.00	11	00.00
00.00	12	00.00
00.00	13	00.00
00.00	14	00.00
00.00	15	00.00
00.00	16	00.00
00.00	17	00.00
00.00	18	00.00
00.00	19	00.00
00.00	20	00.00
00.00	21	00.00
00.00	22	00.00
00.00	23	00.00
00.00	24	00.00
00.00	25	00.00
00.00	26	00.00
00.00	27	00.00
00.00	28	00.00
00.00	29	00.00
00.00	30	00.00